The

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/572,510	03/17/2006	Peter A. Mueller	127244	4263	
	25944 OLIFF & BERI	7590 04/04/2001 RIDGE, PLC	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 19928				AVILA, STEPHEN P		
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3617		
_						
L	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		· MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
	3 MO	NTHS	04/04/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Applicati	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
			10	MUELLER, PETER A.					
	Office Action Summary	Examine		Art Unit	I				
		Stephen /		3617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply					l ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)	1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 March 2006</u> .								
	a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.								
3)	, —								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application	٦.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.								
7)🛛	7)⊠ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election r	equirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	ccepted or b)	objected to by the E	Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
<i>a)</i> (a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
				on No					
	 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 								
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
	•								
Attach									
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
	e of Naterences Cited (P10-092) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)		4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 061906 Other:									
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>061906</u> . 6) Other:									

Application/Control Number: 10/572,510

Art Unit: 3617

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

- 2. Claims 1-5 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matthews (cited by Applicant). Matthews discloses the claimed structure including at least two floating bodies (including 11), at least one damping device, and sealing means 29.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matthews. Matthews does not specifically disclose two circuits. It would have been an obvious choice of engineering design to provide the two air pumps to be on two difference circuits for improved ease of control.
- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wyman et al show a hull.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Avila whose telephone number is 571-272-

Art Unit: 3617

6678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7 AM to 3 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel J. Morano can be reached on 571-272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Stephen Avila Primary Examiner Art Unit 3617

12/2/07
